1 Hon. Charles A. Legge (Ret.) **JAMS** 2 Two EmbarcaderoCenter, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA94111 3 Telephone: (415) 774-2644 4 Fax: (415) 982-5287 Special Master 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 12 13 In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) Case No. 07-5944 SC ANTITRUST LITIGATION MDL No. 1917 14 JAMS Ref. No. 1100054618 15 CRAGO, INC., et al., REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS 16 Plaintiffs, REGARDING SCHEDULING CHANGES 17 VS. 18 CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD., et al., 19 Defendants. 20 21 This Document Relates to ALL CASES 22 23 24 To the Honorable Samuel Conti, United States District Judge: 25 26 The cases in the Cathode Ray Tube Antitrust Litigation are presently subject to a 27 scheduling order entered by your Honor on April 3, 2012; document No. 1127. 28 The parties have conferred and are jointly requesting modifications of the scheduling

 $\| |$ 

order. The proposed new scheduling order is attached to this report as Attachment A. The differences between the present schedule and the one now proposed by the parties are set forth in an outline, Attachment B.

There are three matters to bring to Your Honor's attention in connection with the requested scheduling changes:

First, the request is apparently made by all of the plaintiffs, and all of the defendants, in all of the cases which had been related together as the Cathode Ray Tube Antitrust Litigation. The parties have not stated specific reasons for the change, but it is significant that they have all agreed.

Second, the proposed scheduling changes extend all of the remaining deadlines in these cases, up to and including the trial, by about six months. Neither the parties nor the special master know what impact the changes would have on your Honor's calendar of other cases.

Third, there is only one point of dispute between the parties in the proposed order; Attachment A. That is, plaintiffs propose a mediation on March 15, 2013 in the direct class cases. But the defendants these cases believe that the presently scheduled mediation date, which would be July 31, 2014, under the proposed Attachment A, is adequate.

The special master notes that some settlements have already been reached in the direct class cases, and believes that a more immediate settlement conference could assist in possibly settling the remaining cases. There does not appear to be any downside to such an additional conference, other than the expenditure of some attorney and client time. But in view of the substantial time which will have to be expended by the parties if there are no further settlements, the additional expenditure of time for a settlement conference next month does not appear to be material.

The special master therefore recommends that:

1. The proposed mediation date of March 15, 2013 for the direct class cases remain in the proposed new scheduling order;

## 

1	2. Subject to your Honor's calendar of other cases, the Court approve the proposed		
2	Revised Scheduling Order in the form of Attachment A.		
3			
4	Respectfully submitted,		
5	0 0 0 1		
6	Dated: February <u>8</u> , 2013		Charles Q. Sagge
7			Hon. Charles A. Legge (Ret.), Special Master
8			
9	Recommend	ations: Approved/Rejected.	_
10	M	orah 12 2012	Same vario
11	Dated:	arch 13, 2013	Hon. Samuel Conti,
12			District Judge
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			